This document contains the identities of FBI Sources, sophisticated techniques, and other sensitive information. This document is sensitive but unclassified.

Background My name is and I have been a Special Agent with the FBI since August 2003. My first and I have remained there since office was agent on a sensitive public corruption case, POLAR arriving in I was made PEN. The agent was POLAR PEN involved the investigation and prosecution of federal and state legislators. lobbyists, and corporate officials. The most recent conviction was of United States Senator Ted Stevens. DOJ Public Integrity Section lead the prosecution team. Summary of Complaints agent on POLAR PEN for the past years, I have witnessed or learned of scrious violations of policy, rules, and procedures as well as possible criminal violations. When the problems initially began to surface, I attempted to rectify the problems by addressing them My efforts were unsuccessful. My next step was to keep my supervisor aware of all problems/issues that I encountered thereafter. I would also "vent" with agents that I trusted throughout the years. In addition, on multiple occasions I advised my CDC of some of these issues/problems. Below is a summary of the key areas of concern that I can recall. **Details of Complaints** mishandled mishandled Overall, sources by becoming too close to each of them. would meet with sources in home and have dinner with them and home or their home. unnecessarily disclosed details about FBI investigations, unnecessarily provided information related to FBI techniques and internal workings, and would accept things of value from documented very little in FBI files. ь. Sources I am aware of mismanagement: i. ü. iii. iv. V. vi. Bill Allen j. Source was previously in the ïi. closed the source at some point. iii. Source gave current job as a ried to have me reopen the source as my own source but I refused. d.

	i.	met with a second at the home in the second on multiple
	ïi.	occasions. had access to home, even when was not home.
	iii.	met with and and both on multiple occasions.
) .		
	· j.	told details about
		Allen's cooperation and status as FBI sources.
	ii.	old with virtually all case details when the did not have a need
		to know case and operational details.
	iii.	met with many occasions alone or with
	iv.	ate dinner or lunch with and and an arm house on
		many occasions.
	V.	provided detailed information about my personal
		background, which is a second without my permission and knowing I would not have allowed to do so.
	vi.	
	¥1.	when moved from to thinked thinked the locate homes was a licensed real estate agent).
	vii.	drew and provided large original drawing of
	V 411-	dog as a gifl. This artwork is hanging on a wall in
		home near stairs.
f.		·
	i.	attempted to provide information about my personal
		background without my permission. I had to kick
		leg under the table to stop from revealing personal information
		about me.
•	ĩi.	After was convicted and sent to prison, and began
		communicating with writing without documenting the
	4-4	communications in FBI files.
	iii.	I believe the lied to the man in my presence on or about 11/20/2008
	•	when told and the file and the file of the second to document in FBI files
_		the letters received and sent to
g.		and colfing and
	1.	and a second contract the
		individual that was captured on Title III electronic surveillance and was a potential subject).
	ii.	This information was provided by
h.	Allen	
	i.	Most recently, men met with Allen by the same in the hotel room in
		Washington D.C. When I found out that occurred, I told
		that if the knew that was going to happen again, to advise me so I
		could stop that from happening again. I also told the most to do inst
	•	again. I gnored me.
	ii.	wore for Allen during the recent trial during his testimony.
		does not wear does dvised it was a surprise/present for

Allen.

told Allen chiring the pijch for him to cooperate on or about iii. 08/30/06 that accepted bribes from the FBI. details of the case and that accepted bribes from the concerned accepted bribes. had cooperated with the FBI. It was innecessary to reveal all than information. I believed it was absolutely unnecessary to provide details about other cases to someone we were wanting to choperate. I advised the other agent in the room and but did not feel comfortable stopping from revealing further information.

was cooperating and information that old Alien iv. provided to the FEL told Allen my source testified before provided Allen With information the grand jury. the FBI.

may have revealed to Allen and/or his attorney the status of an ongoing Ancliorage Police Departiment investigation involving Allen

2. eccepted multiple things of value from sources

As referenced above, I am aware of a drawing/artwork, house-hunting assistance, I believe there were more gifts that I do and employment for not know about.

3. may have violated 6E Grand Jury rules

٧.

As referenced above, I believe may have violated grand jury rules when dmitted to me that the told Allen that my source testified before the grand jury. I learned of this because Allen passed on the information to a family member, who passed on the information to my source's who passed it on was upset that Allen knew he testified. I do not recall to my source. grand jury testimony. how much Allen knew about the content of failed to enter large amounts of evidence into FBI systems as 1B or 1C evidence

There is a large amount of records currently in the Squad 4/5 conference room that the FBI has had in it's custody for some time that do not appear to be entered into and others by saying the documents are not defends originals even though much, if not all of the evidence, was obtained via grand jury subpoons and must be protected.

5. failed to disclose one or more searches performed in a Title III affidavit

In one of the first Title III affidavits, I discovered that affidavit did not reference the fact that the FBI had performed one or more searches. I advised told me not to worry about it, that it was too late to change it, and that it was irrelevant and would not have changed the fact the judge signed the affidavit. I was a and left the issue alone. I don't recall whether I informed anyone else.

6. provided a variety of sensitive information and a piece of FBI equipment to

I am aware that up to date with the details and status of keeps FBI investigations, regardless of the sensitivities involved or rules/regulations/laws that are broken.

and gaining cooperation. Ъ. After approaching either received or made a call on cell phone and began telling the caller everything that about our techniques used (details like the transpired in the approach to shock room), what occurred, and the results of our efforts. I assumed it was completed the call, I asked if the caller was partner from After said it was I chastised said, "Who else am I supposed to tell? telling ensitive information in law enforcement and I have to tell someone..." I told knew not in law enforcement and that should not be doing that. From that point on, tried to conceal the fact that continued to keep habits. but I knew did not change

phone to use when communicating with sensitive sources. One day I attempted to contact the why cover cell phone answered the phone. I asked the why cover cell phone cell phone and advised they did not have a home phone yet so the decided to lend the phone for the personal use.

7. had inappropriate relationships/communication with members of the media

a. had relationships and communicated with and and an and a source.

8. Source disclosed sensitive techniques and actions of our technically trained agents to a source

a. On one occasion, the told Allen that our tech agents performed work in his phone box and on his phone line.

9. and others intentionally redacted Brady/Jenks material that defense counsel was entitled to receive

a. At the recent trial of Ted Stevens, the prosecution was required by the court to turn over redacted FD-302s. The court learned the prosecution reducted information that should not have been redacted. The court openly chastised the government. Later that day, advised me that redacted the information and did so because was redacting to fit the Brady/Giglio letter that had previously been provided to the defense.

b. documents. During the trial of Ted Stevens, the prosecution decided to use a check of Allen's as an exhibit even though it had not previously been turned over in discovery. Prosecutors decided not to provide that check to the court and defense before using it as a government exhibit. The defense and the judge were upset.

10. The second s

a. cutsoins and likes to talk. Shortly after the few to

- 11. DOI Public Integrity Section, inappropriately created scheme to relecate projection witness that was also subpoensed by defense during trial
 - During the trial of Ted Stevens, prosecutors subpoensed Robert Williams. Williams was brought to Washington D.C. weeks before the trial for multiple trial preparatory sessions. Williams health was very poor. I requested that Williams be the first to testify so he could testify, get home, and continue to receive medical attention as necessary. I did not want him to die while we had him in Washington D.C. After the final preparatory session, which included a mock cross examination, prosecutors decided Williams was not a witness the prosecution advised came up with a great plan to send Williams home because was so "concerned" about Williams' health that it would allow prosecutors to send him back to Alaska, even though Williams was also under a defense subpoena. 1 advised and others multiple times that they should advise the defense counsel and the judge before executing their plan. I was ignored. They had me send Williams home. The defense and judge found out, were very angry, and suggested prosecutorial misconduct had occurred.
 - 2. exempted to conceal from defense counsel an FD-302 discovered that had exempted information during trial that had not previously been provided to the defense
 - a. During the trial of Ted Stevens, prosecutors planned to use witness. This caused the discovery of the fact that one of tenks FD-302s contained information that was not previously provided to the defense. The judge had previously required prosecutors to turn over all FD-302s and this was one that was not provided. The information had to be turned over to the defense. Was absolutely against turning over the FD-302 trying to convince the group why it was unnecessary. I left the conference room because 1 felt very uncomfortable with where the discussion was heading. In the end, the FD-302
- 13. DOJ Public Integrity Section, accepted approximately 30 boxes of paper evidence from defense counsel and did not forward that evidence to FBI to process and enter into databases as 1B or 1C evidence

was turned over.

- a. When I arrived at Public Integrity Section in Washington D.C. to prepare for the trial of Ted Stevens, I found many boxes of documents stacked outside the office of the Ted Stevens. The FBI did not have custody of any of the material and the evidence had not been reviewed by FBI personnel. Because of evidence being in the custody and control of Public Integrity Section, discovery for the case had to occur from both Alaska (evidence in the custody and control of the FBI) and Washington D.C. (evidence in the custody and control of Public Integrity Section attorneys).
- 14. DOJ Public Integrity Section, accepted original evidence from one

or more witnesses during grand jury and failed to provide that evidence to the PBI to process and enter into databases as 1B evidence and in one instance temporarily lost that evidence

- a. provided prosecutors with an original gold key-chain preceived from Ted Stevens. Provided it during grand jury. During a trial preparatory session with the fine in Alaska. DOJ Public Integrity Section, instructed me to provide with an FBI receipt for the key-chain because advised wanted to get the key-chain returned to mafter the case was completed. I did not provide with a receipt because that key-chain was never in the custody and control of the FBI. I was previously told that lost the key-chain but later found it in a file cabinet within Public Integrity Section.
- b. I saw other original evidence at Public Integrity Section that did not appear to have been entered into FBI evidence systems or reviewed by the FBI.

My Motivation to Further Report

I could have kept going with the status quo but I chose to step up and make my concerns known at a higher level because of multiple reasons:

- 1. There were many serious problems I encountered in the recent trial of U.S. Senator Ted Stevens that frustrated me and I feel many of them stem from case mismanagement that occurred for too long:
- 2. One of work with the FBl, which publicly disclosed the mismanagement of provided information about our investigations that should not have known, the book mentioned me in multiple places, and because and wrote a letter complaining to the Director of the FBl;
- others including a management recently decided to reassign to to others including and and believed would continue to have contact and mismanage coursing other agents to be in inappropriate situations as I had been in the past
- 4. I was scheduled to contact was also in the process of writing a book and I feared more problems would occur and I would be in the middle of the problems again;
- I recently began sharing my current concerns with two agents and they encouraged me to help everyone on our squad by reporting these problems to rectify the situation for all;
- 6. My efforts to rectify the problems have not been solved by reporting them to management; and
- 7. I re-read the FBI's core values and found they have not been upheld in the areas mentioned throughout this document.

In addition, FBIHQ from PCU to the highest levels are extremely pleased with the successes of POLAR PEN. I am concerned about possible retaliation. On 11/21/2008 I requested whistleblower protection status from my who was my at the time. I don't want to be punished for coming forward. I am absolutely

outside my comfort zone by reporting my concerns beyond my efforts I listed in this document. was a bit unclear-as to: whether granted my request for protection, I request any and all whistleblower protections available again.

Actions I have Taken

Other than the previously noted actions, I recently discussed my many concerns with two other agents within my office. They helped guide and encourage me to report this information to higher authorities. I made a few more attempts to report these violations within my command I was unsuccessful in these attempts. I decided I was more comfortable telling a neutral, outside party about my concerns. One of the agents I spoke with provided me with the hotline number to the Office of Integrity and Compliance (OIC). I called The preamble to the call stated that the hotline was operated by a united party and that if my concerns involved sensitive information, that I should not provide the information to them. I then called OIC directly and spoke with was interested in what I had to say but advised that due to me name or my concerns, I needed to provide the information to Inspection Division or DOJ provided me with the contact information for Inspection Division, Internal Investigation Section At approximately I. contacted concerns and provide them to for the of complaints. I hoped my concerns could be tooked into without my name being known as the one who started the mess, to avoid any possible retaliation.

I found out that one of the agents I spoke with met with and informed him that someone was going to report these concerns to OPR. When I returned spoke with me in mivate and told me that to protect myself, I needed to write g and provide it to the state of problems in down everything and provide it to the past but that had forgotten a lot of what I told him. I felt I had to advise of my contact earlier that day with and was going to go talk to the I knew advise him of the situation. I to d that I should tell the my concerns. Shortly office and asked to explain my concerns. I requested thereafter. I was called to the whistleblower protection and suggested it might not be appropriate for or the CDC to sit in on this meeting because part of my story included them. brought the office. I advised the of my contact with was not pleased I went outside of my office's chain of command. I explained why I didn't feel comfortable using my office's command structure and how I even got the feeling from once that made me believe it would not be smart to go to the with these concerns. advised me to follow the instructions had given me but that I should have provided the information to old me not to discuss the concerns with others in the office and if anyone else had concerns they were to provide them to had me immediately retrieve my notes regarding who at HQ I spoke with so could call them and let them know was dealing with the situation.

People Who Could Provide Further Information/Corroboration

